

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,192	11/17/2003	Won-Ho Lee	8733.971.00-US	9556
30827	7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			QI, ZHI QIANG	
1900 K STRE WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
	Application No. 10/713,192	Applicant(s) LEE, WON-HO	(m)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Mike Qi	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			Iress			
Period for Reply	•	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this con NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers			·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PT	D-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	•					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ved in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not recei	vea.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (1) electric field distorting means formed on a boundary of the two domains [claims 1-9];
- (2) electric field distorting means being formed parallel to the boundary between the two domains [claims 10-20].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

If Applicant elected species (1), <u>a further election</u> of one of the following subspecies is required:

- a) the electric field distorting means comprises at least one slit [claim 2];
- b) the electric field distorting means comprises a protrusion [claims 3-4].

If Applicant elected species (2), <u>a further election</u> of one of the following subspecies is required:

- a) the electric field distorting means is formed at the pixel electrode [claim 11];
- b) the electric field distorting means is formed at the common electrode [claim12];
 - c) the electric field distorting means comprises at least one slit [claim 13];
 - d) the electric field distorting means comprises a protrusion [claims 14-18.].

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2299. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,192 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mike Qi

Mike Qi

Patent Examiner

May 11, 2005